





APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,207	06/27/2001	John Michael Cotte	YOR920010091US1(14299)	5007
75	590 04/18/2003			-
Steven Fischman, Scully, Scott, Murphy & Presser			EXAMINER	
	400 Garden City Plaza Garden City, NY 11530		PERKINS, PAMELA E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2822	
		DATE MAILED: 04/18/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
.	Advisory Action	09/893,207	COTTE ET AL.	[
-	7.20.00.7	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Pamela E Perkins	2822				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addi	ess			
There inal recordite	REPLY FILED 14 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH fore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appli) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper rep ich places the applic	oly to a cation in			
	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) [b) [2	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the statutory period for reply expired the statutory period for reply expired the statutory period for	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate	ee MPEP			
37 CFR b) abovearned	en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened is, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory period for reply originally set in nths after the mailing date of the final rej	the final Office action; or (ection, even if timely filed,	(2) as set forth in			
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 							
	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be						
٠.	they raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE below);				
• •	\square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b						
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	implifying the			
(d)	they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ns.			
	NOTE: The phrase "reactive ion etched" was no	t previously considered.					
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	l amendment			
5.	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	T place the			
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a) will not be entered or tould be rejected is provided bel	o)∏ will be entered a ow or appended.	and an			
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
	Claim(s) allowed:						
	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected:						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Exam	iner.			
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
0. ☐ Other:							
			lin				
			AMIR ZARABIAN				
		SUP	ERVISORY PATENT EX	AMINER			

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 Part of Paper No. 7